



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,511	03/01/2002	Veijo Pulkkinen	0207US-Euroelektro	4052	
23521 75	90 07/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SALTAMAR INNOVATIONS 30 FERN LANE SOUTH PORTLAND, ME 04106			YE, LIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50011110111	D. II. (2), 1.12		2615	2615	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2009	DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/087,511	PULKKINEN, VEIJO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lin Ye	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIDE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIDE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIDE THE MAILING THE MAILING AS A THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING THE MAILI	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONET	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u>	<u> March 2002</u> .				
2a)□	a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	•	_				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/1/02</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 recites the limitation "the data transfer protocol" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsumoto et al. U.S. Patent 6,172,605.

Referring to claim 1, the Matsumoto reference discloses in Figures 1 and 6, a process control system comprising: at least one process control unit (monitoring central unit 20, See Col. 4, lines 50-59) including a program (software, see Col. 8, lines 63-65) for controlling operation of a process, said process control unit (20) being adapted to form a query message comprising a code of a desired image-processing task and parameter values needed for performing the image-processing task (e.g., instructions for the image-processing, such as changes the resolution of

Application/Control Number: 10/087,511

Art Unit: 2615

image, number of frames, see Col. 8, lines 16-30), a data-transfer link (communication line 30) for conveying the query message and a reply message (e.g. Figure 1 shows the communication line is transfer message in two directions), a video camera (TV camera 11 of the monitoring terminal unit 10), image-processing software (encoding control means 133) for processing a picture taken by the video camera, in accordance with the query message (e.g., instructions for changing the resolution of image, see, Col. 8, lines 27-29), an adaptation program (data communication control 16) coupled to the image-processing software (133) and the data-transfer link (30), the adaptation program (16) further adapted to extract the code and the parameter values from the query message received from the data-transfer link, and to transform the code and the parameter values to a form suitable for the imageprocessing software so that the image-processing software is able to carry out the desired image-processing task (See Col. 8, lines 39-49); the adaptation program further adapted to receive the results of the image processing task from the imageprocessing software and send the results in the reply message via the data transfer link to the process control unit (the adaptation program of communication control 16 reply a real time image data as the results of the image processing task to the process control unit 20 according to the requirements of query message, see Col. 5, lines 20-25 and Col. 7, lines 11-26).

Referring to claim 2, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein one query message includes several codes of the image-processing tasks with their parameter values (e.g., the resolution % and the number of frames, see Col. 8, lines 30-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/087,511

Art Unit: 2615

Referring to claim 3, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein the adaptation program (16) contains several codes (e.g., instructions) of the image-processing tasks, wherein in response to the codes and the attached parameter values the image-processing program (133) is able to carry out the corresponding number of image-processing tasks (See Col. 8, lines 44-49).

Referring to claim 4, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein the imageprocessing software (133) and the video camera (11) are integrated to form a smart camera (monitoring terminal unit 10), and the adaptation program (16) has been installed in this camera (10) as shown in Figure 1.

Referring to claim 5, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein the imageprocessing software and the adaptation program are installed in a computer (hardware, such as communication control 16, encoding control means and encoder 12) connected to the camera (TV camera 11, as shown in Figure 1, See Col. 8, lines 63-65).

Referring to claim 6, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein when the process control program needs information about a picture (resolution % or number of frames per second), a query message is formed into which the code identifying the task and the related parametric values are placed as shown in Figure 7C.

Referring to claim 7, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein by changing information to be received from a picture, desired modifications are made only in the program for controlling operation of the process (See Col. 8, lines 28-30).

Referring to claim 8, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein any commands concerning image-processing may be included in the program (instruction) for

Art Unit: 2615

controlling operation of the process, provided that the adaptation program (includes the codes identifying the tasks (see Col. 8, lines 16-30).

Referring to claim 9, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein the process control unit is a programmable logic controller (e.g., monitoring central unit 20 as a programmable logic controller includes various control functions formed or configured as either software or hardware).

Referring to claim 10, the Matsumoto reference discloses wherein the data transfer link is a field bus (communication line 30).

Referring to claims 11-12, the Matsumoto reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 1 and 4, and in order to establishing communication and exchange information between monitor terminal unit (10) and monitoring central unit (20) via the communication line (data transfer line 30), a pre-defined protocol are used inherently.

Referring to claims 13-15, the Matsumoto reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 1-3.

Referring to claims 16-23, the Matsumoto reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 1-10.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2615

- a. Blackshear et al. U.S 5,111,288 discloses a surveillance camera system comprises a computer programmed for automatic or manual operation of the system.
- b. Sato et al. U.S. 2001/0043272 discloses a camera control apparatus provides an end user with environment to easily remote control a video camera.
- c. Steinberg et al. U.S. 6,628,325 discloses a communication device for interconnecting a digital camera to a communication network via a pre-defined protocol.
- d. Kawahara U.S. 6,677,990 discloses a control device for an image input apparatus to control the image input accordance with the calculation results obtained by the calculation device.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7372.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,511 Page 7

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lin Ye Examiner

Art Unit 2615

January 19, 2005